## Computational Geometry <br> Labworks

November 11, 2020

Deadline: December 2, 2020.
Consider the java classes

```
class Point {
    public double x,y;
}
```

which we use to represent point coordinates in the Cartesian plane, and

```
class Point3D {
    public double x,y,z;
}
```

which we use to represent point coordinates in the Cartesian space.

## Programming assignment 1 (30 points)

Write a java program that finds the closest pair of points from a set of $n \geq 2$ points. The program should read from the standard input the following data:
one line which contains the value of $n$, followed by
each of the following $n$ lines contains two floating-point numbers separated by whitespace. These numbers represent the $(x, y)$ coordinates of a point.

Note. This algorithm was presented in Lecture 7. More explanations can be found in the Appendix of this labwork assignment.

## Programming assignment 2 (20 points)

Extend class Point with the static method
public static Point intersect(Point A,Point B,Point C,Point D); which checks if the segments AB and CD intersect, and returns

- null if $\mathrm{AB} \cap \mathrm{CD}=\emptyset$.
- otherwise, the point $P$ which is at the intersection of $A B$ with $C D$.


## Programming assignment 3 (20 points)

Suppose we represent a segment in the Cartesian space by an instance of the class

```
class Segment {
```

    public Point3D A,B; // the coordinates of the endpoints of the segment
    \}

We say that a segment $s_{1}$ is above another segment $s_{2}$ if there is a vertical line $\ell$ that intersects both segments, such that the $\ell \cap s_{1}$ is above $\ell \cap s_{2}$.

Extend class Segment with the static method

```
public static int above(Segment s1,Segment s2);
```

which returns
0 if s1 and s2 intersect,
1 if $s 1$ is above $s 2$,
2 if $s 2$ is above $s 1$, and
3 otherwise.

## Programming assignment 4 (30 points)

Heron's formula tells us that the area of a triangle whose sides have lengths $a, b, c$ is

$$
\operatorname{area}(A B C)=\sqrt{s(s-a)(s-b)(s-c)}
$$

where $s=(a+b+c) / 2$.
Suppose $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{n}$ is a convex polygon, and we want to compute its area Extend class Point with the static methods

```
public static double area(vector<Point> P);
public static double perimeter(vector<Point> P);
```

which compute the area and perimeter of $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{n}$ when P is the vector of coordinates of points $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{n}$ in clockwise order.

## Appendix: Finding the closest pair of points

Consider the problem of finding the closest pair of points in a set $Q$ of $n \geq 2$ points.

- Closest refers to the usual euclidean distance: the distance between points $p_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $p_{2}=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ is $\sqrt{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)^{2}}$.
- Several applications, e.g., in traffic control systems: identify two closest vehicles in order to detect potential collisions.
- The simple, brute-force closest-pair algorithm: look at all the $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs of points $\Rightarrow O\left(n^{2}\right)$ complexity.
- In this labwork, we consider a divide-and-conquer algorithm with running time $O(n \log n)$.


## The divide-and-conquer algorithm

Each recursive call of the algorithm takes as input a subset $P \subseteq Q$ and arrays $X$ and $Y$, each of which contains all the points of the input set $P$ :

- $X$ contains the elements of $P$ sorted in increasing order of the $x$-coordinate
- $Y$ contains the elements of $P$ sorted in increasing order of the $y$-coordinate

A given recursive invocation with inputs $P, X$, and $Y$ first checks if $|P| \leq 3$. If so, find the closest points in $P$ with the brute-force approach, by trying all pairs of points. If $|P|>3$, the recursive invocation carries out the divide-and-conquer paradigm as follows.

Divide: Find a vertical line $l$ that bisects the point set $P$ into two sets $P_{L}$ and $P_{R}$ such that $\left|P_{L}\right|=\lceil|P| / 2\rceil, Q_{L}=\lfloor|P| / 2\rfloor$, all points in $P_{L}$ are on or to the left of line $l$, and all points in $P_{R}$ are on or to the right of $l$. Divide the array $X$ into arrays $X_{L}$ and $X_{R}$, which contain the points of $P_{L}$ and $P_{R}$ respectively, sorted by monotonically increasing $x$-coordinate. Similarly, divide the array $Y$ into arrays $Y_{L}$ and $Y_{R}$, which contain the points of $P_{L}$ and $P_{R}$ respectively, sorted by monotonically increasing $y$-coordinate.

Conquer: Having divided $P$ into $P_{L}$ and $P_{R}$, make two recursive calls, one to find the closest pair of points in $P_{L}$ and the other to find the closest pair of points in $P_{R}$. The inputs to the first call are the subset $P_{L}$ and arrays $X_{L}$ and $Y_{L}$; the second call receives the inputs $P_{R}, X_{R}$, and $Y_{R}$. Let the closest-pair distances returned for $P_{L}$ and $P_{R}$ be $\delta_{L}$ and $\delta_{R}$, respectively, and let $\delta=\min \left(\delta_{L}, \delta_{R}\right)$.

Combine: The closest pair is either the pair with distance $\delta$ found by one of the recursive calls, or it is a pair of points with one point in $P_{L}$ and the other in $P_{R}$. The algorithm determines whether there is a pair with one point in $P_{L}$ and the other point in $P_{R}$ and whose distance is less than $\delta$. Observe that if a pair of points has distance less than $\delta$, both points of the pair must be within $\delta$ units of line $l$. Thus, they both must reside in the $2 \delta$-wide vertical strip centered at line $l$. To find such a pair, if one exists, we do the following:

1. Create an array $Y^{\prime}$, which is the array $Y$ with all points not in the $2 \delta$ wide vertical strip removed. The array $Y^{\prime}$ is sorted by $y$-coordinate, just as $Y$ is.

2. For each point $p$ in the array $Y^{\prime}$, try to find points in $Y^{\prime}$ that are within $\delta$ units of $p$. As we shall see shortly, only the 7 points in $Y^{\prime}$ that follow $p$ need be considered. Compute the distance from $p$ to each of these 7 points, and keep track of the closest-pair distance $\delta^{\prime}$ found over all pairs of points in $Y^{\prime}$.
3. If $\delta^{\prime}<\delta$, then the vertical strip does indeed contain a closer pair than the recursive calls found. Return this pair and its distance $\delta^{\prime}$. Otherwise, return the closest pair and its distance $\delta$ found by the recursive calls.

## Why are seven points sufficient for lookup?

We shall prove that we need only check the seven points following each point $p$ in array $Y^{\prime}$.

Suppose that at some level of the recursion, the closest pair of points is $p_{L} \in P_{L}$ and $p_{R} \in P_{R}$. Thus, the distance $\delta^{\prime}$ between $p_{L}$ and $p_{R}$ is strictly less than $\delta$. Point $p_{L}$ must be on or to the left of line $l$ and less than $\delta$ units away. Similarly, $p_{R}$ is on or to the right of $l$ and less than $\delta$ units away. Moreover, $p_{L}$ and $p_{R}$ are within $\delta$ units of each other vertically. Thus, as Figure below shows, $p_{L}$ and $p_{R}$ are within a $\delta \times 2 \delta$ rectangle centered at line $l$. (There may be other points within this rectangle as well.)


We next show that at most 8 points of $P$ can reside within this $\delta \times 2 \delta$ rectangle. Consider the $\delta \times \delta$ square forming the left half of this rectangle. Since all points
within $P_{L}$ are at least $\delta$ units apart, at most 4 points can reside within this square; The figure above shows how. Similarly, at most 4 points in $P_{R}$ can reside within the $\delta \times \delta$ square forming the right half of the rectangle. Thus, at most 8 points of $P$ can reside within the $\delta \times 2 \delta$ rectangle. (Note that since points on line $l$ may be in either $P_{L}$ or $P_{R}$, there may be up to 4 points on $l$. This limit is achieved if there are two pairs of coincident points such that each pair consists of one point from $P_{L}$ and one point from $P_{R}$, one pair is at the intersection of $l$ and the top of the rectangle, and the other pair is where $l$ intersects the bottom of the rectangle.)

Having shown that at most 8 points of $P$ can reside within the rectangle, we can easily see why we need to check only the 7 points following each point in the array $Y^{\prime}$. Still assuming that the closest pair is $p_{L}$ and $p_{R}$, let us assume without loss of generality that $p_{L}$ precedes $p_{R}$ in array $Y^{\prime}$. Then, even if $p_{L}$ occurs as early as possible in $Y^{\prime}$ and $p_{R}$ occurs as late as possible, $p_{R}$ is in one of the 7 positions following $p_{L}$. Thus, we have shown the correctness of the closest-pair algorithm.

## Another key implementation issue

How to ensure that the arrays $X_{L}, X_{R}, Y_{L}$, and $Y_{R}$, which are passed to recursive calls, are sorted by the proper coordinate and also that the array $Y^{\prime}$ is sorted by $y$-coordinate? Note that if the array $X$ that is received by a recursive call is already sorted, then we can easily divide set $P$ into $P_{L}$ and $P_{R}$ in linear time.

The following algorithm splits $Y$ into $Y_{L}$ and $Y_{R}$

```
let \(Y_{L}[1 . . Y\).length \(]\) and \(Y_{R}[1 \ldots Y\). length \(]\) be new arrays
\(Y_{L}\).length \(=Y_{R}\).length \(=0\)
for \(i=1\) to \(Y\).length
    if \(Y[i] \in P_{L}\)
        \(Y_{L}\).length \(=Y_{L}\).length +1
        \(Y_{L}\left[Y_{L}\right.\).length \(]=Y[i]\)
    else \(Y_{R}\).length \(=Y_{R}\).length +1
        \(Y_{R}\left[Y_{R} \cdot\right.\) length \(]=Y[i]\)
```

